**SUBJECT**

**MATERNAL AND INFANT HEALTH ASSESSMENT SURVEY**

**Strategic Priority Area 2. System and Network:** Provide leadership to the First 5 movement and the development of a support system serving children prenatal through age 5, their families, and communities that results in sustainable and collective impact.

**Goal 2.1. Leadership as a Convener and Partner:** Work with First 5 county commissions, state agencies, and other stakeholders to convene, align, collaborate on, support, and strengthen statewide efforts and initiatives to facilitate the creation of a seamless system of integrated and comprehensive programs and services to improve the status and outcomes for children prenatal through age 5 and their families.

---

**SUMMARY OF THE ISSUE**

Researchers from the University of California, San Francisco, will present findings from California’s Maternal and Infant Health Assessment (MIHA) survey. Christine Rinki, MPH, is a research specialist for the MIHA survey, with expertise in maternal and child epidemiology and program evaluation. Paula Braveman, MD, MPH, is Professor of Family and Community Medicine and Director of the Center on Social Disparities in Health at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF). She is internationally known for her expertise in health equity and health disparities, particularly maternal and child health. Kristen Marchi, MPH, is Co-Director of the Center on Social Disparities in Health and the MIHA Project Director, with expertise in survey research and social disparities in maternal and child health.

**RECOMMENDATION**

This is an information-only item. First 5 California staff is not requesting action at this time.
BACKGROUND OF KEY ISSUES

MIHA is an annual, statewide-representative survey of women with a recent live birth in California. MIHA collects self-reported information about maternal and infant experiences and about maternal attitudes and behaviors before, during, and shortly after pregnancy. The survey is a collaborative effort of the Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health and the Women, Infant & Children Divisions of the California Department of Public Health, and the Center on Social Disparities in Health at UCSF. The presentation will focus on the topics of hardships among women around the time of pregnancy, the impact of childhood hardships on maternal health, and the relationship of preterm birth with racism.
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What is MIHA?

• Annual survey of California women with a recent live birth

• Unique data resource to support programs and policies that improve the health of California mothers and infants

• Source of otherwise unavailable data around the time of pregnancy, linked to other data sources
MIHA Partners

- Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health Division, California Department of Public Health

- WIC Division, California Department of Public Health

- Center on Social Disparities in Health, University of California, San Francisco

- MIHA is supported by federal funds from the Title V Maternal and Child Health Block Grant.
MIHA methods overview: Sample

• Annual statewide representative sample from birth certificates of resident women with a live birth
• About 6,500 women participate each year
  – Allows for county-level data reporting
• Oversampled subgroups ensures adequate representation
  – Black mothers (since 1999)
  – American Indians/Alaska Native (AIAN) mothers (2012-2015)
  – Preterm births (since 2016)
• Multi-mode survey (mail/web/telephone)
• In English or Spanish (no Asian languages yet)
• Incentives and rewards offered to enhance participation
• Most women surveyed between 3 and 6 months postpartum
• 2017 response rate = 64%
MIHA methods overview: Survey Development

- Revised annually to address emerging issues
- Input obtained from MCAH, WIC, CDPH, First 5, CDC/PRAMS and external stakeholders from throughout California
- MIHA Team examines literature, other surveys and consults subject matter experts
- Survey pretested with postpartum women online, in focus groups, on phone (English and Spanish)
MIHA Topics

- Demographics and socioeconomics
- Hardships, social support, IPV, racism
- Mental health conditions, need and access to care
- Alcohol, tobacco, cannabis use
- Health conditions, behaviors, and experiences
- Access to care, utilization and insurance
- Dental care, flu and Tdap vaccination, genetic disease screening
- Pregnancy intention and postpartum birth control
- Linked birth certificate variables (standard)
- Linked patient discharge data variables
- Linked selected census-derived variables
Ongoing collaborations

CDPH Partners

- Women, Infants and Children Program (WIC)
- Genetic Disease Screening Program
- Let’s Talk Cannabis
- Office of Oral Health
- Immunization Branch

External Partners

- Local Health Jurisdiction MCAH Programs
- Centers for Disease Control/PRAMS
- Kidsdata.org
- National Partnership for Women and Families
- MCH Access
Women residing in all counties are eligible for MIHA.

Data at county level is available for 35 counties with the largest number of births.

This accounts for 98% of California births.

Data for the remaining 23 counties are reported in MIHA regions.
Uses of MIHA data: public health reporting

- Statewide, regional and county
  - Data Snapshots
  - Issue briefs
  - Data requests

- National
  - Collaboration with CDC/PRAMS for Healthy People 2020
MIHA Data to Action
The Maternal and Infant Health Assessment (MIHA) is an annual population based survey of women with a recent live birth with a sample size of n=6,632 in 2016. Percentages are weighted to represent all women with a live birth who intended to breastfeed and return to work.

- Workplace breastfeeding support increased from 52% in 2011 to 66% in 2016.
- Low income women continue to lag behind higher income women.
MIHA identifies barriers to receipt of dental care during pregnancy

Leading reasons for not getting dental care during pregnancy: MIHA 2012

- Fewer than half of California women receive dental care during pregnancy.
- Knowledge, attitudes, and cost are leading barriers.

The Maternal and Infant Health Assessment (MIHA) is an annual population based survey of women with a recent live birth with a sample size of n=6,810 in 2012. Percentages are weighted to represent all women with a live birth in 2012 in California.
The Maternal and Infant Health Assessment (MIHA) is an annual population based survey of women with a recent live birth with a sample size of n=6,810 in 2010-2012. Percentages are weighted to represent all women with a live birth in 2010-2012 in California. WIC eligible nonparticipants are women who had Medi-Cal for prenatal care or delivery, or had income <= 185% FPG, but had no prenatal record in WIC MIS database.

Leading reasons for not enrolling in WIC during pregnancy: MIHA 2010-2012

- Did not think would qualify: 40%
- Perceived lack of need: 35%
- Did not know about WIC: 16%
- Could not get to WIC: 14%
- Application/telephone barriers: 10%
- Negative view of WIC: 9%

- 53,000 pregnant women per year were eligible for WIC, but did not enroll.
- Analyses identified multiple opportunities to enhance WIC outreach.
MIHA supports strategies to improve low immunization rates

**Immunization receipt during pregnancy:**
**MIHA 2016**

- Women should receive Tdap during EACH pregnancy, and seasonal flu vaccine.
- Vaccination rates were low for pregnant women, particularly those with Medi-Cal.

The Maternal and Infant Health Assessment (MIHA) is an annual population based survey of women with a recent live birth with a sample size of n=6,632 in 2016. Percentages are weighted to represent all women with a live birth in 2016 in California.
MIHA Research to Inform Policy and Practice
Research using MIHA: a few examples

- Half of childbearing women have low incomes and many have serious hardships. (Maternal & Child Health Journal 2010)

- Economic hardships in childhood are common and associated with subsequent risks to maternal health & well-being. (Maternal & Child Health Journal 2017)

- Chronic worry about racism is associated with preterm birth. (PLOS One 2017)

- Greater use of dental care during pregnancy is associated with health-care providers promoting oral health. (under review)

- ACA appears to have improved health insurance coverage before, during and after pregnancy (will submit soon)
Maternal Hardships Around the Time of Pregnancy: The Environment Into Which Babies Are Born
Homeless or no regular place to sleep at some point during her pregnancy
Separated/divorced during her pregnancy

% of women

% of Federal Poverty Level

0-100% 101-200% 201-300% 301-400% >400%
She involuntarily lost her job during her pregnancy
Food insecurity during her pregnancy

% of women
0-100% 101-200% 201-300% 301-400% >400%

% of Federal Poverty Level

0-100% 101-200% 201-300% 301-400% >400%
Economic Hardship Should Count as an Adverse Childhood Experience

• Adverse Childhood Experience (ACE) studies
  – Revealed high prevalence of child abuse and other childhood psychosocial trauma with enduring health effects.
  – Demonstrated the link between adverse childhood experiences and ill health in adulthood.
  – Did not focus on root causes of adverse childhood experiences, including economic hardship
Economic hardship during childhood

• Economic hardship in a woman’s childhood could impact her later health in several ways:
  • Physical hazards like poor nutrition, toxic exposures
  • Her parents’ stress due to financial strain could → less support & stimulation of children, family dysfunction, child abuse, stressed children
    • Effects on children’s cognitive, emotional, behavioral development could lead to low income & unhealthy behaviors in young adulthood
  • Chronic disease
How common are economic hardships in childhood?

- Hunger: 10%
- Had to move due to rent/mortgage problems: 14%
- Difficulty paying for basic needs: 49.6% (Ever), 21.2% (Often)
Intermediate/higher level of economic hardship in childhood, by race/ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/ethnicity</th>
<th>Latina</th>
<th>AI/AN</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>API</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maternal health risks around the time of pregnancy were not rare events

- Poverty: 43%
- Food insecurity: 17%
- Homeless/No regular place to sleep: 3%
- Intimate partner violence: 8%
- Smoking 3 mo before pregnancy: 12%
- Binge drinking 3 mo before pregnancy: 14%
Economic hardships in childhood were associated with maternal health risks

- Intermediate or higher levels of economic hardship in childhood were associated with 5 of the 6 threats to maternal health/well-being:
  - Poverty, food insecurity, homelessness/no regular place to sleep, IPV during pregnancy, binge drinking
- Associations with most maternal health risks persisted after controlling for potential confounders
- Higher levels of economic hardship in childhood appeared associated with greater maternal health risks
Economic hardship in childhood: Conclusions

- Common, especially among women of color.
- Linked with maternal (and long-term) health risks.
- Staggering potential impact on child & adult health statewide
- Policies to address ACEs need to address economic hardships in childhood.
Preterm Birth Disparities: Is Chronic Worry About Racism a Missing Piece of the Puzzle?
Race, racism, and birth outcomes

For Black Women, Education Is No Protection Against Infant Mortality

By Peter Coy

How Racism May Cause Black Mothers To Suffer The Death Of Their Infants
Persistent racial disparities in preterm birth: An unequal start in life

- Preterm birth is the #1 risk factor for infant mortality.
- Strongly predicts childhood developmental disability.
- Also linked with adult chronic disease.
- Causes unknown (but likely involve preconception factors).
Stress could be important, based on epidemiologic evidence and neuro-science

- Stress could result from economic hardship or direct psychological effects of racism
- Studies have identified biological mechanisms through which chronic stress can damage health
  - Inflammation and immune function appear important
  - Can trigger labor
Does chronic worry about racism contribute to Black/White disparities in preterm birth?

- US-born, non-Hispanic Black (2,201) or White (8,122) women; MIHA 2011-2014

- “…how often have you worried that you might be treated or viewed unfairly because of your race or ethnic group?”
  - “Chronic” = very or somewhat often

- 37% of Black women reported chronic worry about unfair race-based treatment
Chronic worry about racism may contribute to Black women’s elevated rates of PTB

- Without considering anything else, the PTB rate was 60% higher among Black women.
- After controlling for chronic worry about racism, Black women’s increased risk was reduced to 30% and was no longer significant.
- After adding relevant variables like age, # births, education, etc., Black women’s increased risk was reduced to 17% and was non-significant.
Chronic worry about racism: Implications

- Not definitive but warrants further study.
- Racism-related stress is biologically plausible as a contributor to preterm birth disparities.
- Genetic explanations don’t fit the data, although gene-environment interactions are possible.
- Reducing racism may be crucial to eliminate racial disparities in preterm birth.
Potential future analyses using MIHA

- Maternal hardships (track over time)
- Maternal mental health: need, access & barriers to care
- Maternity leave
- Infant sleep environment
- Health of African American mothers and newborns in CA
- Maternal and infant oral health care
- ACA effects on maternal & newborn insurance coverage
- Cannabis and opioid use
- Follow-up survey of toddlers
Thank you

More information about MIHA:
www.cdph.ca.gov/MIHA